

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,302	01/17/2002		Kyung Jin Kim	A-67640-2/RFT/NBC	6859
23552	7590	02/25/2004		EXAMINER	
MERCHAI P.O. BOX 2		OULD PC	NOLAN, PATRICK J		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1644	

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/053,302	KIM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Patrick J. Nolan	1644	
The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stated in the period for reply within the set or extended p	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re unication. of days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>04 December 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL .	b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice		•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12 and 13 is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	s/are withdrawn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d	locuments have been received. locuments have been received in Ap f the priority documents have been r al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Mtachmont(c)			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/13/03.	O-948) Paper No(s)	Mail Date prmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1644

1. Claims 1-14 are pending. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-11 and 14 in the Paper received 12-4-2003 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that a search for Group I would include a search for Group II. This is not found persuasive because the Groups are separately classified.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 12-13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected Group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the Paper received 12-4-2003.

- 2. Applicant is requested to inform the Examiner of All related applications and U.S. Patents drawn to the currently recited invention.
- 3. Applicant is required to insert all 35 USC 120 and 119(e) data on the first page of the specification.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a minimum of an Fab or scFV polypeptide, does not reasonably provide enablement for the use of a polypeptide with one CDR or variable region. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Applicant invention is drawn to the use of antibodies. Antibodies are useful because they specifically bind to epitopes on antigens. The minimal structure for binding to an epitope is the 6 CDR's found in the light and heavy chain variable region. Since Applicant's claim read on less than the required structure to specifically bind the antigen, they are not enabled for the full scope of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1644

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-11 and 14 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5, 13-15 and 20-22 of copending Application No. 08/943,771. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the '771 pending claims are species claims to the instantly pending claims in the current application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

7. Claims 1-11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention

The hybridomas recited in the claims are essential to the claimed invention. The reproduction of the monoclonal antibodies from the disclosed hybridomas is an extremely

Art Unit: 1644

unpredictable event. The hybridomas, disclosed on page 78 of the specification, must be obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification or otherwise be readily available to the public. The instant specification does not disclose a repeatable process to obtain the monoclonal antibodies, and it is not apparent if the **** are readily available to the public. If the deposits have been made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty, an affidavit or declaration by applicants or someone associated with the patent owner who is in a position to make such assurances, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature, stating that the hybridomas have been deposited under the Budapest Treaty and that the hybridomas will be irrevocably and without restriction or condition released to the public upon the issuance of a patent would satisfy the deposit requirement made herein. See 37 CFR 1.808. record must be clear that the deposit will be maintained in a public depository for a period of 30 years after the date of deposit or 5 years after the last request for a sample or for the enforceable life of the patent whichever is longer. See 37 CFR 1.806. If the deposit has not been made under the Budapest treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by applicants or someone associated with the patent owner who is in a position to make such assurances, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature must be made, stating that the deposit has been made at an acceptable depository and that the criteria set forth in 37 CFR 1.801-1.809, have been met.

Amendment of the specification to disclose the date of deposit and the complete name and address of the depository is required.

If the deposit was made after the effective filing date of the application for a patent in the United States, a verified statement is required from a person in a position to corroborate that the plasmid described in the specification as filed are the same as that deposited in the depository. Corroboration may take the form of a showing of a chain of custody from applicant to the depository coupled with corroboration that the deposit is identical to the biological material described in the specification and in the applicant's possession at the time the application was filed.

Art Unit: 1644

Page 5

Applicant's attention is directed to In re Lundak, 773 F.2d. 1216, 227 USPQ 90 (CAFC 1985),

and 37 CFR 1.801-1.809 for further information concerning deposit practice.

It is noted that if Applicant amends the specification on page 78 with the ATCC numb

ers, the requirement will be removed.

8. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

703-872-9306.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Patrick Nolan whose telephone number is 571-272-0847.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina

Chan, can be reached at 571-272-0841.

Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner, Group 1640

January 25, 2004